



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,348	01/30/2004	Christopher R. Dance	D/A3127	5238
7590	08/28/2007		EXAMINER	
Xerox Corporation Patent Documentation Center Xerox Square 20th Floor 100 Clinton Ave. S. Rochester, NY 14644			RUSH, ERIC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/767,348	DANCE ET AL.
	Examiner Eric Rush	Art Unit 2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 14 June 2007.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. In view of the amendment received on 22 June 2007 the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claim 18 is withdrawn.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Claims 1 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Camara et al. U.S. Patent No. 7,197,158.

With regards to claims 1 and 18 -19: Camara et al. each a method, a computer-readable medium comprising computer-executable instructions (Camara et al. Fig. 1, Column 3 Lines 21 - 27) and an apparatus for automatically combining a digital image with text data, comprising: (Camara et al. Column 2 Lines 41 – 44, metadata reads on text data) (a) a receiving means configured to receive electronic data comprising a digital image (Camara et al. Column 3 Lines 5 – 9, Column 5 Lines 49 - 54), (b) a classification means configured to automatically classify the image

according to a predetermined set of categories (Camara et al. Column 3 Lines 38 – 42, Column 7 Lines 3 – 8, Column 7 Line 31 – Column 8 Line 21); and (c) a selection means configured to automatically select text data from a repository that matches the category of the image according to a predetermined criterion; (Camara et al. Column 4 Lines 47 – 53, Column 1 Lines 32 - 66) wherein (a), (b), and (c) are executed on one or more servers. (Camara et al., Fig. 1, Elements 170 - 173, 180, 181, and 185, Column 3 Lines 5 – 20 and Lines 27 – 35)

With regards to claim 2: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the selected text data matches a predetermined recipient profile. (Camara et al. Column 11 Lines 44 – 57, Column 12 Lines 42 – 54)

With regards to claim 3: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 2, wherein said selecting at (c) further comprises automatically selecting a recipient profile according to a predetermined criterion. (Camara et al. Column 11 Lines 59 – 66)

With regards to claim 4: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 3, wherein the predetermined criterion is the sender of the electronic data. (Camara et al. Column 11 Line 28 – Column 12 Line 21, Camara et

al. disclose using metadata already present in the image file to further generate or supplement metadata Column 11 Lines 59 – 63 and this data can include author information Column 1 Lines 20 – 24 i.e. sender information)

- With regards to claim 5: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising automatically combining the image and the selected text data to form a combined document. (Camara et al. Column 2 Lines 41 – 44, Column 7 Lines 3 – 7)

- With regards to claim 6: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, further comprising at least one of automatically initiating printing of the combined document and sending the combined document. (Camara et al. Fig. 3 Elements 310 and 312, Column 10 Lines 49 - 59)

- With regards to claim 7: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said receiving at (a) comprises receiving the electronic data over a network via at least one of electronic mail and a digital telephone network. (Camara et al. Column 5 Lines 9 – 45 and Column 5 Lines 49 – 59)

Art Unit: 2624

- With regards to claim 8: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said receiving at (a) further comprises requesting and receiving at least one of a recipient name and a recipient profile. (Camara et al. Column 6 Lines 40 – 46)
- With regards to claim 9: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said receiving at (a) further comprises testing the electronic data regarding at least one of authentication, authorization with respect to a potential recipient, and content of the image. (Camara et al. Column 7 Line 64 – Column 8 Line 15)
- With regards to claim 10: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said classifying at (b) is preceded by automatically placing the electronic data on a queue or schedule for classification. (Camara et al. Fig. 3 Column 8 Lines 36 – 45 Lines 59 – 62, Column 9 Lines 6 – 9 Lines 15 – 22 and Lines 34 – 45)
- With regards to claim 11: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the text data in the repository is classified according to the predetermined set of categories (Camara et al. Fig. 4 Elements 416a-d) and wherein said selecting at (c) further comprises selecting text data

being classified in the same category as the image. (Camara et al.

Column 11 Line 41 – Column 12 Line 6)

- With regards to claim 12: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said selecting at (c) further comprises searching the repository for text data comprising a keyword associated with the category of the image. (Camara et al. Column 7 Line 49 – Column 8 Line 21)
- With regards to claim 13: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said selecting at (c) further comprises classifying the image according to a predetermined set of subcategories within a category. (Camara et al. Column 8 Lines 6 – 12)
- With regards to claim 14: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the electronic data further comprises image content information data (Camara et al. Column 7 Lines 49 – 63) and wherein said classifying at (b) further comprises extracting the image content information data. (Camara et al. Column 7 Lines 3 – 7)
- With regards to claim 15: the method according to claim 14, wherein the image content information data comprises one or more of positional and temporal information regarding the image (Camara et al. Column 11 Lines

41 – 58), and wherein said classifying at (b) further comprises comparing at least one of the position and temporal information with a lookup table. (Camara et al. Column 11 Line 59 – Column 12 Line 11)

- With regards to claim 16: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 1, wherein said classifying at (b) further comprises extracting content information from the image. (Camara et al. Column 8 Lines 6 – 15)
- With regards to claim 17: Camara et al. teach the method according to claim 16, wherein extracting content information from the image comprises applying at least one of a kernel image categorization method and a multi-classifier method. (Camara et al. Fig. 4 Column 8 Lines 2 – 15, Column 11 Line 59 -Column 12 Line 11)
- With regards to claim 20: Camara et al. teach the apparatus according to claim 19, further comprising a combining means configured to automatically combine the image and the selected text data to form a combined document. (Camara et al. Fig. 1 Column 3 Lines 5 – 20)

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 22 June 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In page 7 of the remarks, regarding claims 1, 18, and 19, and

dependent claims thereof. Applicant's representative argues that Camara et al. does not teach or suggest implementation of their method and system for automatically combining a digital image with text data to be executed on one or more servers. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Camara et al. teach, by way of Fig. 1, elements 170, 171, 172, 173, 180, 181, and 185, as well as in column 3 lines 5 – 20 and lines 27 – 35, that their method and system for generating and integrating metadata into images may be executed on one or more servers. Accordingly, and as set forth in the 102 rejection above, Camara et al. do teach the limitations of claims 1, 18, and 19.

### ***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Rush whose telephone number is (571) 270-3017. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir Ahmed can be reached on (571) 272-7413. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ER

SAMIR AHMED  
PRIMARY EXAMINER